1a forms a very stable adduct 1b⁷ with a weak Lewis base such as diethyl ether. Addition of a stoichiometric amount of triethylamine to 1b gives adduct $1c^7$ (eq 2). When addition of *t*-BuLi to 2 is carried out in THF, adduct 1d (THF·Mes₂Ge=CR₂) was quantitatively obtained; 1d was spectroscopically⁷ and chemically (addition of methanol leading to 7) characterized. All these adducts which are very thermally stable (no decomposition occurred after heating 1b in a sealed tube at 85 °C for 15 h) are highly air-sensitive and present thermochromism: crystals of 1b, 1c and 1d are yellow at -100 °C, orange at room temperature, and orange-red at 80 °C.

When the double bond is markedly polarized, the metal has a strong electrophilic character; therefore, it is easily complexed by Lewis bases such as ether oxides or amines, like in silenes⁸ and silaimines.⁹ Such complexation has not been observed in symmetric molecules $M = M \langle (M = Si, {}^{1a,b} Ge, {}^{2} Sn^{10})$ or in metallaphosphenes $M = P - (M = Si^{11} Ge^{3a} Sn^{12})$ where metal 14 is bound to phosphorus, a less negative atom than carbon or nitrogen.13

Germene 1 is stabilized owing to bulky groups on germanium and probably high mesomeric effects between the germaniumcarbon double bond and the fluorenyl group. Such a group has already allowed the stabilization of phosphaalkenes $-P = C \langle {}^{14} \rangle$ and of a boraalkene $-B = C \langle .^{15} \rangle$

The structure of 1b was corroborated by its chemical behavior. A preliminary investigation reveals that 1b is highly reactive; reactions proceed probably via the free germene 1a, which is formed by previous dissociation of the adduct. Protic reagents (water, methanol, ethylthiol) and dimethyl disulfide add guantitatively on the Ge=C double bond of 1 to form respectively 6, 7, 8, and 9;¹⁶ lithium aluminum hydride reduces 1b to 10.¹⁶ 1,3-Cycloaddition has been observed with N-(tert-butyl)- α phenylnitrone¹⁶ and 1,4-cycloaddition with 2,3-dimethyl-

1984, 271, 381-391.

(10) Goldberg, D. E.; Harris, D. H.; Lappert, M. F.; Thomas, K. M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1976, 261-262. (11) Smit, C. N.; Lock, F. M.; Bickelhaupt, F. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984,

25. 3011-3014.

(12) Couret, C.; Escudié, J.; Satgé, J.; Raharinirina, A.; Andriamizaka, J. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 8280-8281.

(13) Allred, A. L.; Rochow, E. G. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 1958, 5, 269–288.
 Allred, A. L. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 1961, 17, 215–221.

(14) Van der Knaap, T. A.; Bickelhaupt, F. Chem. Ber. 1984, 117, 915-924.

(15) Glaser, B.; Nöth, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1985, 24, 416-417. (16) 6: white crystals, mp 72-73 °C; ¹H NMR (C_6D_6) δ 2.10 (s, 6 H, Me), 2.20 (s, 12 H, o-Me), 4.75 (s, 1 H, HCR₂), 6.65 (s, 4 H, aromatic Mes), 6.85-7.80 (m, 8 H, CR₂); IR (Nujol) v(GeOH) 3420 cm⁻¹; mass Spectrum (EI), m/e (relative intensity) 494 (M, S), 429 (Mes₂GeOH, 100). Anal. Calcd for C₃₁H₃₂GeO: C, 75.49; H, 6.54. Found: C, 75.36; H, 6.30. 7: white crystals, mp 55–57 °C; ¹H NMR (C₆D₆) δ 2.08 (s, 6 H, *p*-Me), 2.56 (s, 12 H, *o*-Me), 3.35 (s, 3 H, MeO), 4.90 (s, 1 H, *HC*R₂), 6.70 (s, 4 H, *HC*R (s, 12 H, o-Me), 3.35 (s, 3 H, MeO), 4.90 (s, 1 H, HCR₂), 6.70 (s, 4 H, aromatic Mes), 7.03–7.93 (m, 8 H, CR₂). Anal. Calcd for $C_{12}H_{14}$ GeO: C, 75.77; H, 6.76. Found: C, 75.66; H, 6.87. **8**: yellow-green crystals, mp 135–136 °C dec. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆) δ 1.00 (t, ³J_{HH} = 7.0 Hz, 3 H, CH₂CH₃), 1.60 (q, ³J_{HH} = 7.0 Hz, 2 H, CH₂), 2.05 (s, 6 H, p-Me), 2.66 (s, 12 H, o-Me), 4.88 (s, 1 H, HCR₂), 6.62 (s, 4 H, aromatic Mes), 7.00–7.93 (m, 8 H, CR₂). Anal. Calcd for $C_{33}H_{36}$ GeS: C, 73.77; H, 6.75. Found: C, 74.09; H, 70.29: white crystals, mp 184–186 °C; ¹H NMR (C₆D₆) δ 1.00 (s, 3 H, GeSMe), 1.55 (s, 3 H, CSMe), 2.00 (s, 6 H, p-Me), 2.10 (s, 12 H, o-Me), 6.53 (s, 1 H, aromatic Mes), 6.93–8.03 (m, 8 H, CR₂). Anal. Calcd for $C_{33}H_{36}$ GeS: C, 69.98; H, 6.66. **10**: white crystals, mp 110–111 °C; ¹H NMR (C₆D₆) δ 2.10 (s, 6 H, p-Me), 2.00 (s, 4 H, 4.80 (d). °C; ¹H NMR (C₆D₆) δ 2.10 (s, 6 H, *p*-Me), 2.20 (s, 12 H, *o*-Me), 4.80 (d, ³J_{HH} = 5.0 Hz, 1 H, HCR₂), 5.20 (d, ³J_{HH} = 5.0 Hz, 1 H, GeH), 6.73 (s, 4 H, aromatic Mes), 6.93–7.93 (m, 8 H, CR₂); IR (Nujol) ν (Ge-H) 2070 and H, aromatic Mes), 6.93 - 7.93 (m, 8 H, CR₂); IR (Nujol) ν (Ge+H) 2070 and 2095 cm⁻¹ (two bands, probably due to a Fermi resonance with band at 1040 cm⁻¹). Anal. Calcd for C₃₁H₃₂Ge: C, 78.03; H, 6.76. Found: C, 77.74; H, 7.04. **11**: white crystals, mp 123-124 °C; ¹H NMR (C₆D₆) δ 1.38 (s, 3 H, Me), 1.95 (s, 12 H, o-Me), 2.06 (s, 6 H, p-Me), 2.48 (s, 2 H, CH₂), 2.55 (s, 2 H, CH₂), 6.68 (s, 4 H, aromatic Mes), 7.05-7.91 (m, 8 H, CR₂). Anal. Calcd for C₃₇H₄₀Ge: C, 79.74; H, 7.23. Found: C, 79.50; H, 7.33. **12**: white crystals, mp 220-222 °C; ¹H NMR (C₆D₆) δ 1.80 (s, 3 H, p-Me), 1.86 (s, 3 H, p-Me), 1.93 (s, 6 H, o-Me), 2.01 (s, 6 H, o-Me), 4.73 (s, 1 H, CH), 6.38 (s, 4 H, aromatic Mes), 6.56-7.50 (m, 13 H, Ph and CR₂); mass spectrum (EI), m/e (relative intensity) 653 (M, 30), 638 (M-15, 5), 596 $(M\text{-}t\text{-}Bu, 5), 566 \ (M\text{-}t\text{-}Bu\text{-}2Me, 5), 476 \ (1a, 100).$ Anal. $C_{42}H_{45}GeNO: \ C, 77.32; \ H, 6.95.$ Found: C, 77.50; H, 7.22. Anal. Calcd for

Scheme I



butadiene;16 in the last case, the ene reaction has not been observed (Scheme I).

All these reactions are nearly quantitative and occur at room temperature. They demonstrate the existence of a true double bond between germanium and carbon. 1 is the first stable germene whereas some silenes have already been isolated.^{1b,c,8} The stabilization of 1 seems to confirm recent calculations¹⁷ that have predicted close π -bond energies for germenes and silenes.

(17) Dobbs, K. D.; Hehre, W. J. Organometallics 1986, 5, 2057-2061.

Tandem Flowing Afterglow-Selected Ion Flow Tube and Its Application to the Thermal Energy Reactions of ¹⁸O⁻

Jane M. Van Doren, Stephan E. Barlow, Charles H. DePuy, and Veronica M. Bierbaum*

> Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry The University of Colorado Boulder, Colorado 80309-0215 Received February 18, 1987

Since its development by Ferguson, Fehsenfeld, and Schmeltekopf¹ in 1963, the flowing afterglow (FA) has proven to be a valuable technique for studying the kinetics, thermochemistry, and detailed dynamics of gas-phase ion-molecule reactions. The capabilities of this method were greatly extended by Adams and Smith² in 1976 by their development of the selected ion flow tube (SIFT); in the last decade several research groups have applied this technique to a variety of important chemical problems. We wish to report the design and construction of a tandem FA-SIFT instrument with considerably enhanced sensitivity, resolution, and chemical versatility. With this instrument we have generated ¹⁸O⁻ from isotopically unenriched precursors and studied its chemistry with a variety of reagents. These studies provide the first thermal energy rate constants for these processes and reveal several previously hidden reactions; in particular, it is found that isotope exchange competes with associative detachment in the reactions of O⁻ with CO and SO₂.

The new tandem FA-SIFT system is shown schematically in Figure 1. Ions are generated in the flowing afterglow source and extracted and focused into the SIFT quadrupole mass filter; the mass-selected ions are refocused and injected into the flow-drift tube where neutral reagents are added to carry out ion chemistry. The ionic reactants and products are detected with a quadrupole mass filter coupled with an electron multiplier. For this study the drift capability³ was not employed.

With this instrument we have generated unexpectedly large

⁽⁸⁾ Wiberg, N.; Wagner, G.; Reber, G.; Riede, J.; Muller, G. Organometallics 1987, 6, 35-41. (9) Wiberg, N.; Wagner, G.; Muller, G.; Riede, J. J. Organomet. Chem.

⁽¹⁾ Ferguson, E. E.; Fehsenfeld, F. C.; Schmeltekopf, A. L. Adv. At. Mol. Phys. 1969, 5, 1.

⁽²⁾ Adams, N. G.; Smith, D. Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Phys. 1976, 21, 349

⁽³⁾ McFarland, M.; Albritton, D. L.; Fehsenfeld, F. C.; Ferguson, E. E.; Schmeltekopf, A. L. J. Chem. Phys. 1973, 59, 6610.



Figure 1. Tandem flowing afterglow-selected ion flow tube.

Table I. Kinetic Data for the Reactions of O	Table I.	Kinetic	Data	for	the	Reactions	of (O-
--	----------	---------	------	-----	-----	-----------	------	----

ion	neutral reactant	$k \; (\mathrm{cm}^3 \; \mathrm{s}^{-1})^a$	efficiency ^b
¹⁶ O ⁻ ¹⁸ O ⁻	СО	$\begin{array}{c} 6.9 \ (\pm 0.3) \times 10^{-10} \\ 7.8 \ (\pm 0.3) \times 10^{-10} \end{array}$	0.68 0.79
¹⁶ O⁻ ¹⁸ O⁻	SO_2	2.1 $(\pm 0.1) \times 10^{-9}$ 2.2 $(\pm 0.1) \times 10^{-9}$	0.74 0.81
¹⁶ O ⁻ ¹⁸ O ⁻	NO	2.8 $(\pm 0.1) \times 10^{-10}$ 4.7 $(\pm 0.2) \times 10^{-10}$	0.30 0.51
¹⁶ O ⁻ ⁸ O ⁻	N ₂ O	2.3 $(\pm 0.1) \times 10^{-10}$ 4.0 $(\pm 0.2) \times 10^{-10}$	0.19 0.35
¹⁸ O ⁻	H ₂ O	$1.4 (\pm 0.1) \times 10^{-9}$	0.41
¹⁸ O ⁻	CO ₂	$7.5 (\pm 0.4) \times 10^{-10}$	0.67
¹⁸ O ⁻	O ₂	$1.5 (\pm 0.1) \times 10^{-10}$	0.17

^aError bars represent one standard deviation from the mean; between three and seven determinations were made for each rate constant. The absolute errors are estimated to be $\pm 20\%$. ^bReaction efficiency = k_{expt}/k_c , where $k_c = k_{Langevin}$ (ref 16) for neutral reactants with no dipole moment, $k_c = k_{ADO}$ (ref 17) for neutral reactants with small dipole moments, and $k_c = k_{var}$ (ref 18) for neutral reactants with large dipole moments, i.e., H₂O and SO₂.

signal intensities for a wide variety of anions including highly reactive ions (CH_2^{-}) ,⁴ solvated ions $[HO^{-}(H_2O)]$, and ions which must be synthesized by a series of ion-molecule reactions $(C_3 - H_3N_2^{-})$.⁵ Indeed, the efficiency and sensitivity of the system is sufficiently high that large signals of *naturally abundant* isotopically labeled ions (³⁷Cl, ³⁴S, ¹³C, and ¹⁸O) can be obtained with low background signals. For the study reported here, electron impact on N₂O (0.2% natural abundance oxygen-18) with mass selection and injection of ¹⁸O⁻ produced signals of up to 5000 counts/s at the output of the ion detection system with ≤ 1 count/s noise; these signal intensities correspond to injected ¹⁸O⁻ currents of ~1 nA just downstream of the SIFT injector.⁶

The gas-phase chemistry of O^- has been extensively investigated⁷ and is both rich and varied. The associative detachment reaction which occurs when O^- reacts with carbon monoxide has been

(7) (a) Dawson, J. H. J.; Jennings, K. R. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans.
 2 1976, 72, 700. (b) Bruins, A. P.; Ferrer-Correia, A. J.; Harrison, A. G.; Jennings, K. R.; Mitchum, R. K. Adv. Mass Spectrom. 1978, 7, 355.
 (8) (a) Roche, A. E.; Goodyear, C. C. J. Phys. B 1969, 2, 191. (b) Sanche, L.; Schulz, G. J. J. Chem. Phys. 1973, 58, 479. (c) McFarland, M.; Albritton, L.; L.; Chem. Phys. 1973, 58, 479.

particularly well studied both experimentally⁸ and theoretically,⁹ not only in the forward direction but in the reverse direction as well, as has the presumed negative ion intermediate CO_2^- . Reaction of CO with ¹⁸O⁻ reveals that a hitherto undetected reaction channel, oxygen exchange (eq 1a), competes with detachment (eq 1b). The efficiencies for reaction of ¹⁸O⁻ and ¹⁶O⁻ with C¹⁶O

$${}^{18}\text{O}^- + \text{C}{}^{16}\text{O} \rightarrow {}^{16}\text{O}^- + \text{C}{}^{18}\text{O}$$
 (1a)

$$\rightarrow$$
 ¹⁸OC¹⁶O + e

(1b)

are determined in separate experiments (Table I) with the difference representing the efficiency of isotope exchange. These data indicate that 11% of the collisions result in exchange of the oxygen atoms, presumably through formation of a CO_2^- intermediate. If the oxygen atoms have equal probability for leaving this molecular negative ion, then only half of these intermediates can be detected by the exchange reaction. Thus as many as 90% of the collisions of O⁻ with CO may be "reactive", rather than 67% as previously believed. Therefore, interpretations of this reaction as involving one nonreactive repulsive surface and two reactive attractive surfaces^{8c,d} are clearly inadequate.

Similarly, reaction of ¹⁸O⁻ with SO₂ forms ¹⁶O⁻ in parallel with associative detachment even though detachment is efficient.¹⁰ The associative detachment reaction of O⁻ with nitric oxide¹¹ and the atom-transfer reaction of O⁻ with nitrous oxide¹² to form NO⁻ occur at considerably slower rates. The reaction efficiencies are greatly enhanced when ¹⁸O⁻ is the reactant and isotope exchange becomes observable. The exchange reactions of NO and N₂O have previously been studied at elevated kinetic energies.¹³

The reactions of O⁻ with H₂O, CO₂, and O₂ proceed only by moderately slow three-body association.¹⁴ In contrast, when ¹⁸O⁻

(12) Marx, R.; Mauclaire, G.; Fehsenfeld, F. C.; Dunkin, D. B.; Ferguson, E. E. J. Chem. Phys. 1973, 58, 3267.

(14) (a) Fehsenfeld, F. C.; Ferguson, E. E. J. Chem. Phys. 1974, 61, 3181.
(b) Parkes, D. A. Trans. Faraday Soc. 1971, 67, 711.

(15) For studies at elevated kinetic energies, see: (a) Lifshitz, C. J. Phys. Chem. 1982, 86, 3634. (b) Tiernan, T. O.; Hughes, B. M.; Lifshitz, C. J. Chem. Phys. 1971, 55, 5692. (c) References 13a,c,d,e.

(16) Gioumousis, G.; Stevenson, D. P. J. Chem. Phys. 1958, 29, 294.
 (17) Su, T.; Bowers, M. T. Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Phys. 1973, 12, 347.

⁽⁴⁾ DePuy, C. H.; Barlow, S. E.; Van Doren, J. M.; Roberts, C. R.; Bierbaum, V. M., following paper in this issue.

⁽⁵⁾ Bierbaum, V. M.; DePuy, C. H.; Shapiro, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 5800.

⁽⁶⁾ Dupeyrat, G.; Rowe, B. R.; Fahey, D. W.; Albritton, D. L. Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Phys. 1982, 44, 1.
(7) (a) Dawson, J. H. J.; Jennings, K. R. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans.

^{(8) (}a) Roche, A. E.; Goodyear, C. C. J. Phys. B 1969, 2, 191. (b) Sanche, L.; Schulz, G. J. J. Chem. Phys. 1973, 58, 479. (c) McFarland, M.; Albritton, D. L.; Fehsenfeld, F. C.; Ferguson, E. E.; Schmeltekopf, A. L. J. Chem. Phys. 1973, 59, 6629. (d) Mauer, J. L.; Schulz, G. J. Phys. Rev. A 1973, 7, 593. (e) Comer, J.; Schulz, G. J. J. Phys. B 1974, 7, L249. (f) Bierbaum, V. M.; Ellison, G. B.; Futrell, J. H.; Leone, S. R. J. Chem. Phys. 1977, 67, 2375. (g) Dressler, R.; Allan, M. Chem. Phys. 1985, 92, 449.

^{(9) (}a) Bardsley, J. N. J. Chem. Phys. 1969, 51, 3384. (b) Claydon, C.
R.; Segal, G. A.; Taylor, H. S. J. Chem. Phys. 1970, 52, 3387 (and references therein). (c) Pacansky, J.; Wahlgren, U.; Bagus, P. S. J. Chem. Phys. 1975, 62, 2740. (d) Hopper, D. G. Chem. Phys. 1980, 53, 85.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Lindinger, W.; Albritton, D. L.; Fehsenfeld, F. C.; Ferguson, E. E. J. Chem. Phys. 1975, 63, 3238.

McFarland, M.; Albritton, D. L.; Fehsenfeld, F. C.; Schmeltekopf,
 A. L. J. Chem. Phys. 1973, 59, 6629.

^{(13) (}a) Hughes, B. M.; Tiernan, T. O. Paper No. 15, 16th Annual Conference on Mass Spectrometry and Allied Topics, Pittsburgh, P.A. May 1968.
(b) Hughes, B. M.; Lifshitz, C.; Tiernan, T. O. J. Chem. Phys. 1973, 59, 3162.
(c) Futrell, J. H.; Tiernan, T. O. In Ion-Molecule Reactions; Franklin, J. L., Ed.; Plenum: New York, 1972, Vol. 2, p 485. (d) Tiernan, T. O. In Interactions Between Ions and Molecules; Ausloos, P., Ed.; Plenum: New York, 1974; p 353. (e) Tiernan, T. O.; Clow, R. P. Adv. Mass Spectrom. 1974, 6, 295.

is employed as reagent, facile bimolecular isotope exchange is revealed. This study represents the first observation of these processes at thermal energy.¹⁵ For water and carbon dioxide, exchange occurs at or near the statistical limit, indicating that the oxygen atoms have become equivalent during the lifetime of the intermediate complex (70 and 2000 ps, respectively).^{14a} In contrast, only 17% exchange is observed for O₂, where the complex lifetime is expected to be quite short (2 ps).^{14b} Thus isotopeexchange reactions provide a valuable means for probing the time scales of these fundamental ion-molecule processes.

In conclusion, these results demonstrate that the injection of isotopically labeled ions from unenriched precursors can readily be accomplished in a FA-SIFT; such studies should be feasible for a wide variety of positive and negative ions and make possible a detailed investigation of ionic reaction mechanisms.

Acknowledgment. We are deeply grateful to David Fahey and Carl Lineberger for their generous contribution of time and ideas in the design of the flowing afterglow-selected ion flow tube. We also wish to thank Fred Fehsenfeld, David Smith, and Nigel Adams for many helpful discussions. We gratefully acknowledge support of this work by the National Science Foundation (Grants CHE-8503505 and CHE-8508629), the U.S. Army Research Office (Contract DAAG29-85-K-0046), and the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund (Grant 15990-AC4-C), administered by the American Chemical Society.

(18) Chesnavich, W. J.; Su, T.; Bowers, M. T. J. Chem. Phys. 1980, 72, 2641.

Gas Phase Chemistry of CH2⁻⁻

Charles H. DePuy,* Stephan E. Barlow, Jane M. Van Doren, Chris R. Roberts, and Veronica M. Bierbaum

> Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry The University of Colorado Boulder, Colorado 80309-0215 Received February 18, 1987

Methylene, CH₂, as the prototypical carbene, is among the most studied of all reactive intermediates, but the chemistry of its anion, CH2^{•-}, is completely unknown.¹ We wish to report that in our tandem flowing afterglow-selected ion flow tube (FA-SIFT)² we can generate, separate, inject, and detect CH2⁻⁻ ions with signals of up to 1000 counts/s and with noise ≤ 1 count/s. As a result we are able to determine products and, when desired, accurate rate constants for its reactions with a host of neutral reagents, both organic and inorganic.

Electron impact on either ethylene or methane produces a variety of negative ions among which CH2. is a minor constituent, as shown in Figure 1a. Figure 1b shows the mass spectrum that results when the SIFT quadrupole mass filter is tuned to m/z 14. The HO⁻ signal at m/z 17 arises from the rapid reaction of CH₂^{•-} with traces of water in the downstream flow tube; the ratio of detected ion signals indicates that the water impurity is about 0.4 ppm.

As predicted from its physical properties, CH2^{•-} is an extremely strong base [$\Delta H^{\circ}_{acid}(CH_3^{\circ}) = 407.4 \pm 0.9 \text{ kcal/mol}$], the strongest whose chemistry has so far been studied in the FA.³ It rapidly abstracts a proton from water ($\Delta H^{\circ}_{acid} = 390.8 \pm 0.3 \text{ kcal/mol}$, k = 3.1 (±0.2) × 10⁻⁹ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹, reaction efficiency^{4,5}



Figure 1. (a) Total ion spectrum resulting from electron impact on methane. (b) Spectrum of CH2^{•-} after mass selection and injection.

= 1.2), ammonia (ΔH°_{acid} = 403.6 ± 0.8 kcal/mol), and other compounds, including methyl vinyl ether, tetramethylsilane, propene, methanol, silane, acetone, and ketene. Proton abstraction from some carbon acids, including benzene and toluene, is slow. The reaction of CH2. with methyl chloride proceeds by both proton abstraction and $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{N}}\mathbf{2}$ displacement.

Despite the great exothermicity of its reaction with water (17 kcal/mol), the reaction proceeds by way of a long-lived complex; this is demonstrated by the observation of multiple proton transfer in the reaction of CH_2^{-} with $D_2^{18}O$ (eq 1) resulting in the production of some H18O- In contrast, reaction of CH2+- with deuteriated ammonia, methanol, or acetone proceeds only by deuteron abstraction, and no H/D exchange is observed.

Because CH₂^{•-} is a radical anion, its reactions with neutral molecules will often lead to associative detachment unless one or more of the products has a positive electron affinity. Thus CH₂. reacts rapidly with CO ($k = 7.3 (\pm 0.4) \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1}$ s^{-1} , reaction efficiency = 0.68), but no ionic products are detected. Presumably ketene and an electron are formed (eq 2).⁶ An

$$CH_2 + CO \rightarrow CH_2 = C = O + e$$
 (2)

analogous reaction occurs between the isoelectronic ion O*- and CO to form CO_2 .⁷ Electron detachment (associative or reactive) is also the only channel observed in the reaction of $CH_2^{\bullet-}$ with CO2, and detachment occurs in competition with other reactions for many neutral reagents.

Of greater chemical interest, perhaps, are those reactions which lead to ionic products. Reaction with N2O, which occurs rather slowly $(k = 2.8 (\pm 0.2) \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, reaction efficiency = 0.22),⁸ gives rise to three ionic products, all of which

⁽¹⁾ Leopold, D. G.; Murray, K. K.; Miller, A. E. S.; Lineberger, W. C. J. Chem. Phys. 1985, 83, 4849. (2) Van Doren, J. M.; Barlow, S. E.; DePuy, C. H.; Bierbaum, V. M.,

preceding paper in this issue.

⁽³⁾ The chemistry of the cyclopropyl anion $[\Delta H^{o}_{acid}(c-C_{3}H_{6}) \cong 412]$ was studied by FTMS. See: Froelicher, S. W.; Freiser, B. S.; Squires, R. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 2853.

⁽⁴⁾ Reaction efficiency = k_{expl}/k_{ADO} , where k_{ADO} , the collision rate constant, is calculated by the method of Su and Bowers (Su, T.; Bowers, M. T. Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Phys. 1973, 12, 347). (5) To ensure that CH₂⁻ is not vibrationally excited, methane was added to the downetness for the formula to the formula of the formula to the formula of the formula o

to the downstream flow tube for several rate constant determinations; no difference in the rate constant was observed with and without methane.

⁽⁶⁾ Neutral products are, of course, not detected; in some cases, several product channels are exothermic. (7) $k = 6.9 \times 10^{-10}$. Reference 2.